

Referenties bij MAGMA 3-2012

Ingezonden brief – REACTIE BESTUUR NVMDL, p. 85

1. European Commission. *European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis*. Segnan N, Patnick J, von Karsa L (Eds). Luxembourg, Office of the European Union, 2010. ([European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer](#)).
2. van Lelyveld N, van Oijen MGH, Schwarz MP. Verschillen in poliepdetectie tussen endoscopisten in één ziekenhuis. *Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd*. 2012;156:A4219 (<http://www.ntvg.nl/publicatie/kwaliteitsindicatoren-voor-coloscopie/>).
3. de Jonge V, Sint Nicolaas J, Cahen DL, et al. Quality evaluation of colonoscopy reporting and colonoscopy performance in daily clinical practice. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2012;75:98-106. (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21907986>).
4. Gavin DR, Valori RM, Anderson JT, et al. The national colonoscopy audit: a nationwide assessment of the quality and safety of colonoscopy in the UK. *Gut* 2012; Jun 1 (*Epub ahead of print*: http://gut.bmj.com/content/61/Suppl_2/A3.2).

KWALITEITSEISEN COLOSCOPIE: KANS OF CRIME? Evelien Dekker, p. 88–89

1. Van Rossum LG, van Rijn AF, Laheij RJ, van Oijen MG, Fockens P, van Krieken HH, Verbeek AL, Jansen JB, Dekker E. Random comparison of guaiac and immunochemical fecal occult blood tests for colorectal cancer in a screening population. *Gastroenterology* 2008 Jul;135(1):82–90.
2. Kaminski MF, Regula J, Kraszewska E, Polkowski M, Wojciechowska U, Didkowska J, Zwierko M, Rupinski M, Nowacki MP, Butruk E. Quality indicators for colonoscopy and the risk of interval cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2010 May 13;362(19):1795–803.
3. Valori R, *unpublished results*.
4. Rabeneck L, Paszat LF, Hilsden RJ, Saskin R, Leddin D, Grunfeld E, Wai E, Goldwasser M, Sutradhar R, Stukel TA. Bleeding and perforation after outpatient colonoscopy and their risk factors in usual clinical practice. *Gastroenterology* 2008 Dec; 135(6):1899–1906.
5. Barclay RL, Vicari JJ, Doughty AS, Johanson JF, Greenlaw RL. Colonoscopic withdrawal times and adenoma detection during screening colonoscopy. *N Engl J Med*. 2006 Dec 14;355(24):2533–41.
6. Bowles CJ, Leicester R, Romaya C, Swarbrick E, Williams CB, Epstein O. A prospective study of colonoscopy practice in the UK today: are we adequately prepared for national colorectal cancer screening tomorrow? *Gut* 2004 Feb;53(2):277-83.
7. Gavin DR, Valori RM, Anderson JT, Donnelly MT, Williams JG, Swarbrick ET. The national colonoscopy audit: a nationwide assessment of the quality and safety of colonoscopy in the UK. *Gut* 2012 Jun 1. [*Epub ahead of print*: http://gut.bmj.com/content/61/Suppl_2/A3.2]

OPROEP ESCAPE-trial, p. 99

1. Spanier BW, Dijkgraaf MG, Bruno MJ. Epidemiology, aetiology and outcome of acute and chronic pancreatitis: An update. *Best. Pract. Res. Clin. Gastroenterol* 2008;22:45–63.
2. Schneider A, Lohr JM, Singer MV. The M-ANNHEIM classification of chronic pancreatitis: introduction of a unifying classification system based on a review of previous classifications of the disease. *J Gastroenterol* 2007; 42(2):101–119.
3. van Esch AA, Wilder-Smith OH, et al. Pharmacological management of pain in chronic pancreatitis. *Dig Liver Dis* 2006 July;38(7):518–26.
4. American Gastroenterological Association Medical Position Statement: treatment of pain in chronic pancreatitis. *Gastroenterology* 1998 September;115(3):763–4.
5. Nealon WH, Matin S. Analysis of surgical success in preventing recurrent acute exacerbations in chronic pancreatitis. *Ann Surg* 2001 June;233(6):793–800.
6. Lieb JG, Forsmark CE. Pain and Chronic Pancreatitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2009; 29:706–19.
7. Lamme B, Boermeester MA, et al. Early versus late surgical drainage for obstructive pancreatitis in an experimental model. *Br J Surg* 2007;94(7):849–54.
8. Maartense S, Ledebor M, et al. Effect of surgery for chronic pancreatitis on pancreatic function: pancreatico-jejunostomy and duodenum-preserving resection of the head of the pancreas. *Surgery* 2004;135(2):125–30.
9. Nealon WH, Thompson JC. Progressive loss of pancreatic function in chronic pancreatitis is delayed by main pancreatic duct decompression. A longitudinal prospective analysis of the modified puestow procedure. *Ann Surg* 1993 May;217(5):458–66.
10. van der Gaag NA, van Gulik TM, Busch ORC, Sprangers MA, Bruno MJ, Zevenbergen C, Gouma DJ, Boermeester MA. Functional and medical outcomes after tailored surgery for pain due to chronic pancreatitis. *Ann Surg*, in press.
11. Ahmed Ali U, Pahlplatz JM, Gooszen HG, Boermeester MA. Endoscopic or surgical intervention for painful obstructive chronic pancreatitis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, in press.
12. van Loo ES, van Baal MC, Gooszen HG, Ploeg RJ, Nieuwenhuijs VB. Long-term quality of life after surgery for chronic pancreatitis. *Br J Surg*. 2010 Jul;97(7):1079-86.
13. Cahen DL, Gouma DJ, Nio Y, Rauws EA, Boermeester MA, Busch OR, Stoker J, Laméris JS, Dijkgraaf MG, Huibregtse K, Bruno MJ. Endoscopic versus surgical drainage of the pancreatic duct in chronic pancreatitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2007 Feb 15;356(7):676–84.